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TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *
I.e does the title contain the phrase “Randomized Controlled Trial”? (if not, explain the reason under ”other”)

- yes
- Other: 

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subitem not at all important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

- yes, the title includes ‘smartphone mobile-phone intervention’.

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subitem not at all important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

- Not applicable, all components are delivered through the app.

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”) Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
subitem not at all important  ○  ○  ○  ○  essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, title includes condition 'sun protection'

---

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions
NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Mention key features/functionality/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

yes, 'The smartphone app is fully automated and entails a behavioral intervention to promote sun-protection and consisted of 5 components: skin assessment, educational videos, UV-photos, gamification and prompts for sun-protection.'

---

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT
Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

---

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?
1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

yes, 'Participants were recruited from the general community.' and 'Secondary outcomes were collected at baseline and after holidays through face-to-face-assessments, and included: skin sun-damage, sunscreen use (residual weight and application events) and sun-protection practices (online questionnaire).'

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

yes, abstract: 'The smartphone app is fully automated'
yes, 'Results: From 142 registers of interest, 42 participants were randomized (76.2% female; mean-age = 35.5y). Outcome assessments were completed by all participants. Random allocation to SPF15 vs. SPF30 was found not to be viable in a definitive trial protocol. Of the 21 people allocated to the mISkin intervention, 19 (90.5%) installed the mISkin on their phones and 18 (85.7%) used it at least once. Participants were satisfied with the mISkin app and made suggestions for further improvements. Due to difficulties with the random allocation to SPF and slow uptake the trial was discontinued.'

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials
Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'Conclusions: The internal pilot study concluded that randomisation to SPF was not viable and that recruitment rate was slower than expected due to difficulties with gatekeeper engagement. Possible solutions to the problems identified are discussed. Further refinements to the mISkin app are needed before a definitive trial.'

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution
Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
particular, (e.g. summer holidays in sunny destinations) has been shown to increase melanoma risk considerably [3]. Epidemiologic studies suggest that implementation of sun-protection behaviours would decrease intermittent sun-exposure and would reduce skin cancer incidence [4, 5].

Tourism settings are of particular interest for skin cancer prevention [3]. Sunburn is a common experience [6, 7] and sun-related behaviours, such as intentional sun seeking, are increasingly high [8, 9].

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system
Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropriate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'Holidaymakers are a volatile population present at different locations, which may make them difficult to reach. A scalable and geographically flexible mobile-phone intervention might be an effective way of reaching this population. Mobile-phone interventions have been shown to improve sun-protection behaviours either by using text messaging interventions or mobile-phone applications [10-12].'

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

1. Test the acceptability of recruitment, allocation, measurement and intervention procedures.
2. Assess the feasibility of a mobile-phone intervention to promote sun-protection (feasibility);
3. Collect feedback regarding satisfaction with the intervention (acceptability);
4. Explore users’ engagement with the app and its active ingredients (fidelity).'

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information
not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'The study was initially designed as a single centre assessor-blinded parallel group, individually randomised controlled trial, using a 2 (mISkin app intervention vs. no intervention) x 2 (Sunscreen provision: SPF15 vs. SPF30) factorial design. The intended number of participants to be recruited for the definitive trial was 200, with the first 30 comprising the internal pilot phase.'

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'Protocol deviations
Participant feedback over the course of the trial indicated that random allocation to the distinct SPF strengths was not acceptable. Although the random allocation to sunscreen SPF continued, the protocol was amended to give participants three options: a) SPF15, b) SPF30, or c) both. Records were kept about participant allocation acceptance and any request to change allocation.'

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☑ essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as the mhealth systems was not changed during the study period.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
yes, 'Holidaymakers from the North East of England travelling abroad, aged >18 yrs and owning an Android™ smartphone were recruited.'

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy
Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?
*Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as our main criteria was owning an Android™ smartphone.

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:
Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii?
*Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

'detailed information regarding acceptability and feasibility of recruitment,
allocation, outcome measurement procedures and intervention components. '
and
'An online self-reported online questionnaire with 7 items on sun-protection was also completed'
and
'Participants completed an online questionnaire at baseline and post-test, adapted from previous studies'

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment
Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also
item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii? 
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, The recruitment strategy involved placing posters in local urban community areas, such as universities, travel agency; large companies; and using social media (i.e. Twitter and Facebook). Interested individuals contacted the research team by email. Participants were assessed for inclusion criterion by a researcher (AR) and provided informed consent before participation.

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'The recruitment strategy involved placing posters in local urban community areas, such as universities, travel agency; large companies; and using social media (i.e. Twitter and Facebook). Interested individuals contacted the research team by email. '

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires
Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'An online self-reported online questionnaire with 7 items on sun-protection was also completed' and 'Participants completed an online questionnaire at baseline and post-test, adapted from previous studies'

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed
Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention. (Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not in ms.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No mobile app control group
Participants allocated to the control condition did not received the mISkin app on their phones.
Sunscreen SPF
All participants received two bottles of sunscreen (Ambre Solaire™, 200ml), and they were randomly allocated to receive either SPF15 or SPF30.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

yes, 'The development of the app was based on evidence[14, 15], experts' knowledge and experience, and user involvement, and the iterative development process has been detailed elsewhere[16].'

5-iii) Revisions and updating
Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

yes, 'The content of the mISkin app was unchanged during the trial.'

5-iv) Quality assurance methods
Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

At baseline assessment the outcome assessor was blinded to allocation. At follow up assessors were aware of the allocation and it was hypothesised that outcome assessors could not influence outcome measurements since these were mainly objective. Researchers conducting the lab analyses for skin damage were blinded to allocation and samples were given a code unrelated to the trial ID. This coding was performed by an independent lab researcher.'
5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

The mISkin app (Figure 1 - screenshot) is fully automated and was designed to promote holidaymakers' sun-protection behavior by providing information, addressing appearance-related concerns (e.g. UV-photo), and prompting sun-protection based on location (i.e. GPS). The content of the mISkin app was unchanged during the trial. The development of the app was based on evidence[14, 15], experts’ knowledge and experience, and user involvement, and the iterative development process has been detailed elsewhere[16].

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

yes, we provide a screenshot of the app

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *
**5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework**

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1], whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback” [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subitem not at all important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? * **

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

*Participants randomised to the intervention group engaged in a behavioural intervention (mISkin) delivered through a mobile-phone (Android™ Smartphone) during their holiday (Please see TIDieR checklist in Multimedia Appendix 2).*

**5-ix) Describe use parameters**

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subitem not at all important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?**

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

*The mISkin app (Figure 1) is fully automated and was designed to promote holidaymakers’ sun-protection behavior by providing information, addressing appearance-related concerns (e.g. UV-photo), and prompting sun-protection based on location (i.e. GPS). The content of the mISkin app was unchanged during the trial. The development of the app was based on evidence[14, 15], experts’ knowledge and experience, and user involvement, and the iterative development process has been detailed elsewhere[16].*
yes, participants were instructed to use it during their holidays. ‘Participants randomised to the intervention group engaged in a behavioural intervention (mISkin) delivered through a mobile-phone (Android™ Smartphone) during their holiday (Please see TIDieR checklist in Multimedia Appendix 2). ‘

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement
Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

| subitem not at all important | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 essential |

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, ‘The mISkin app (Figure 1) is fully automated'
More information also provided in TIDieR checklist in Multimedia Appendix 2.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used
Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

| subitem not at all important | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 essential |

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks “like this” to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable, reminders were only used to prompt behaviour.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)
Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as eHealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable, the intervention was fully delivered via the app. Please see TIDieR checklist in Multimedia Appendix 2.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

An online self-reported online questionnaire with 7 items on sun-protection was also completed by all participants. This questionnaire assessed exposure times, sunscreen, hat, t-shirt and sunglasses usage, seeking shade and experience of sunburn[18].

Process variables assessment
Participants completed an online questionnaire at baseline and post-test, adapted from previous studies[19-25], assessing knowledge, intentions, attitudes, self-efficacy, social influences and time perspective (Please see Multimedia Appendix 3).'

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed
If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text
Not applicable, the online questionnaire was based on a validated questionnaire.

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored
Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important • • • • essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

yes, app usage was monitored and is reported in results section.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained
Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important • • • • essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

yes, ‘Post-holiday, face-to-face interviews were conducted to obtain detailed information regarding acceptability and feasibility of recruitment, allocation, outcome measurement procedures and intervention components. These interviews were conducted with the first 30 participants involved in the study providing consent to be recruited to the interviews, and lasting 8-34 minutes for intervention participants and 3-8 minutes for control. The analyses focussed on the general feedback and main issues arising from trial procedures.’

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
Not applicable, trial outcomes remained unchanged.

7a) How sample size was determined
NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size
Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, ‘Sample size
This study was originally intended to be a full scale definitive trial. The period until the first 30 participants to enter the study was defined as the internal pilot study.’

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

problems with the acceptability of the trial protocol, the protocol will either be modified to enhance acceptability and feasibility based on the insights gained, or the trial will be discontinued.
- If during this period no significant problems with acceptability and feasibility are detected, the data from the internal pilot will become part of the main dataset and analysed as part of the definitive trial.
- If any major modifications to the protocol needed to be implemented, the data from the internal pilot will not be analysed alongside the definitive trial.’

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence
NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group
Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'A simple randomisation was used with a 1:1:1:1 allocation ratio to assign participants to the experimental conditions. This was performed using a telephone-based randomisation service provided by a staff member independent and blinded to the identity of individuals. An independent researcher generated and administered the randomisation list. Only after baseline assessment were participants assigned to experimental groups.'

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'A simple randomisation was used with a 1:1:1:1 allocation ratio to assign participants to the experimental conditions. This was performed using a telephone-based randomisation service provided by a staff member independent and blinded to the identity of individuals. An independent researcher generated and administered the randomisation list. Only after baseline assessment were participants assigned to experimental groups.'

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'A simple randomisation was used with a 1:1:1:1 allocation ratio to assign participants to the experimental conditions. This was performed using a telephone-based randomisation service provided by a staff member independent and blinded to the identity of individuals. An independent researcher generated and administered the randomisation list. Only after baseline assessment were participants assigned to experimental groups.'

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *
A simple randomisation was used with a 1:1:1:1 allocation ratio to assign participants to the experimental conditions. This was performed using a telephone-based randomisation service provided by a staff member independent and blinded to the identity of individuals. An independent researcher generated and administered the randomisation list. Only after baseline assessment were participants assigned to experimental groups.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Blinding

At baseline assessment the outcome assessor was blinded to allocation. At follow up assessors were aware of the allocation and it was hypothesised that outcome assessors could not influence outcome measurements since these were mainly objective. Researchers conducting the lab analyses for skin damage were blinded to allocation and samples were given a code unrelated to the trial ID. This coding was performed by an independent lab researcher.

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the “intervention of interest” and which one was the “comparator”.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ○ ○ ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Blinding

At baseline assessment the outcome assessor was blinded to allocation. At follow up assessors were aware of the allocation and it was hypothesised that outcome assessors could not influence outcome measurements since these were mainly objective. Researchers conducting the lab analyses for skin damage were blinded to allocation and samples were given a code unrelated to the trial ID. This coding was performed by an independent lab researcher.
11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to an active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'The analyses focussed on descriptive data regarding recruitment rates and attrition, as well as acceptability and participants' satisfaction with the intervention. The main aim was to test whether the proposed protocol was viable for a definitive trial. For the secondary outcomes, the focus of the analysis was on data yield and quality. Descriptive statistics are provided for participants' characteristics and trial outcomes at baseline and follow-up.'

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ◎ ◎ ◎ ◎ ◎ essential
Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as no Imputation used.

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as no additional analyses conducted.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations
[recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?*
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'Full details of the research protocol have been registered: ISRCTN39435558 [13]. Ethical approval was obtained from the Faculty of Medical Sciences at Newcastle University.'

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures
Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent
Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, 'Interested individuals contacted the research team by email. Participants were assessed for inclusion criterion by a researcher (AR) and provided informed consent before participation.'

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures
Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
yes, this is presented in the included CONSORT flow diagram.

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, this is presented in the included CONSORT flow diagram.

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, a app usage table in presenting in results section summarising main findings.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
yes, 'Forty-two participants were recruited between December 2012 and October 2013'.

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period
Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subitem not at all important</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>essential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable to this study.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, ' Reasons for stopped trial
Modification and potential improvements have been recorded in Table 4, following guidelines to produce an informed decision on the internal pilot trial [26]. Evidence gathered during the internal pilot study identified significant problems influencing acceptability and feasibility of the internal pilot that led to stop the trial early, namely the SPF allocation and improvements to the app.'

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
yes, this item is presented in Table 1.

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues
In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ● ● ● ◆ essential

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, age and gender are presented in Table 1.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions
Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ● ● ● ◆ essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat
Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Primary analyses focussed on descriptive data regarding recruitment rates and attrition, as well as acceptability and participants' satisfaction with the intervention. The main aim was to test whether the proposed protocol was viable for a definitive trial.
For the secondary outcomes, the focus of the analysis was on data yield and quality. Descriptive statistics are provided for participants' characteristics and trial outcomes at baseline and follow-up.
Results section is organised following this plan.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Primary analyses focussed on descriptive data regarding recruitment rates and attrition, as well as acceptability and participants' satisfaction with the intervention. The main aim was to test whether the proposed protocol was viable for a definitive trial.
For the secondary outcomes, the focus of the analysis was on data yield and quality. Descriptive statistics are provided for participants' characteristics and trial outcomes at baseline and follow-up.
Results section is organised following this plan.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use
In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use
In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).
Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

yes, fidelity and the mISkin app usage are presented in Table 3.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users
A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important ● ● ● ● ● essential
19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group
(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No harms identified.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems
Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

subitem not at all important ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers
Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.
Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Yes, 'Post-holiday, face-to-face interviews were conducted to obtain detailed information regarding acceptability and feasibility of recruitment, allocation, outcome measurement procedures and intervention components.' Feedback can also be found in Table 4 and Multimedia Appendix 4: [Feedback on the mISkin app provided by participants].

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group.

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

The key issue reported about the mISkin app was the 'Sun alert service' and future revisions of the app should consider improving this feature. The need for more intelligent and interactive systems has been reported previously[28]. The study by Buller and colleagues[29] also shows that participants were interested in a system that would: a) display how long they could be exposed to the sun without burning (including vitamin D synthesis); b) show daily UV levels; c) advice on recommended SPF; and d) send prompts to reapply sunscreen.'

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.
Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study.

yes, 'Future studies should explore if the effects of visualising non-personalised UV-photos are equivalent to personalised UV-photos.'

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials
Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

subitem not at all important 　　essential

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

21-i) Generalisability to other populations
Generalisability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalisability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations.

subitem not at all important 　　essential
Unfortunately the present study faced considerable challenges in recruitment. Despite several attempts to involve high-street travel agencies and the local airport, the involvement of a gatekeeper to facilitate the access to holidaymakers was unsuccessful. It took several contacts to reach the relevant decision makers and many highlighted that the aim of the study to promote sun-protection would conflict with their products. This is consistent with other studies that have encountered similar difficulties when involving tourism industries[40, 41].

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting
Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?
Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study
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