PatientsLikeMe and Online Patient Support Communities in Dermatology

Mindy D Szeto¹, MS; Michelle Hook Sobotka², MS; Emily Woolhiser³, BS; Pritika Parmar⁴, BS; Jieying Wu⁵, MS; Lina Alhanshali⁶, BA; Robert P Dellavalle^{1,7}, MSPH, MD, PhD

Corresponding Author:

Robert P Dellavalle, MSPH, MD, PhD Department of Dermatology University of Minnesota Medical School 1-411 Phillips-Wangensteen Building 516 Delaware St SE, MMC 98 Minneapolis, MN, 55455 United States Phone: 1 612 625 8625 Email: della056@umn.edu

Abstract

Online patient-oriented platforms such as PatientsLikeMe (PLM) offer a venue for individuals with various diagnoses to share experiences and build community, though they may not be representative of the larger patient population. This potentially limits generalizability and raises concerns about the spread of misinformation, emphasizing the need for informed use and health care provider engagement.

(JMIR Dermatol 2024;7:e50453) doi: 10.2196/50453

KEYWORDS

PatientsLikeMe; PLM; online support communities; social media; forums; discussion boards; internet; misinformation; community engagement; representation; demographics; lived experience; atopic dermatitis; prevalence

Receiving a diagnosis can transform a patient's lifestyle, quality of life, and even their identity. Online patient-oriented platforms, such as PatientsLikeMe (PLM), can provide a medium for patients to interact with those who have similar diagnoses. PLM launched in 2005 and was originally focused on patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS); it has since expanded to over 850,000 members with more than 2800 health conditions, and has been featured in over 100 peer-reviewed studies [1].

PLM remains popular among patients who wish to share personal stories about their individual experiences and treatments in order to connect and learn from each other about symptom timing and onset, severity and resolution, medication effectiveness, side effects, and adherence [1]. A 2018 retrospective study assessed characteristics of PLM users with

RenderX

atopic dermatitis (AD) [2]. As of April 2018, 410 PLM users reported having AD; 90.45% were diagnosed by a medical professional, while 9.55% were self-diagnosed. AD was the primary condition in 61.46% of users; 32.01% of AD PLM users were in the 30-39-year age group and more were women (61%). Common symptoms reported included stress, fatigue, pain, anxious mood, and depressed mood at different levels of patient-defined severity. Users discussed experiences with successful management and nonpharmacological interventions, ranging from modafinil for insomnia to music therapy for anxiety.

However, due to the small number of PLM users reporting AD, especially for treatment data (N=28), profiles may not be representative compared to AD as described in the scientific

¹Department of Dermatology, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN, United States

²Arizona College of Osteopathic Medicine, Midwestern University, Glendale, AZ, United States

³College of Osteopathic Medicine, Kansas City University, Kansas City, MO, United States

⁴Department of Dermatology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, United States

⁵School of Medicine, Rocky Vista University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Parker, CO, United States

⁶Department of Dermatology, SUNY Downstate College of Medicine Brooklyn, New York, NY, United States

⁷Dermatology National Executive Program Director, Dermatology Service, Minneapolis VA Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN, United States

JMIR DERMATOLOGY

literature. For example, some studies report a female predominance of AD, as observed in PLM, while others find no gender association [2]. Conversely, a larger study (N=21,101) of PLM users with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) reported similarities in age, socioeconomic status, symptom frequency, and medication use patterns when compared to the greater population of patients with SLE in the United States [3], patterns largely concordant with claims data in other diseases [4]. However, as expected, slightly more PLM users reporting SLE were female (97%, higher than 82%-93% in real-world samples) and White (68%, compared to 22%-63% in population studies) [3]. Discrepancies when comparing demographics of disease prevalence may be rooted in the self-selected nature of PLM use, where users predominantly identified as female and non-Hispanic White, and were generally younger and more highly educated than even those of other online platforms [5]. Women are also more likely to use internet sources for health information compared to men [3]. Internet experience and higher incomes have additionally been associated with the use of online tools, which may be reflective of social determinants that affect other aspects of health care delivery. Attempts to expand the accessibility and benefits of PLM to a wider audience may be worthwhile, as it has been highly valuable in promoting connections among patients, where hearing from those with similar symptoms aided others in comparable situations and forged strong relationships based on shared lived experiences and exchange of knowledge [6].

To help understand and expand the benefits of PLM to a wider audience, a cross-sectional retrospective survey in 2016-2017 investigated the potential of a customized condition-specific versus generalized PLM platform and examined the impact of community-focused upgrades sponsored by pharmaceutical partnerships [7]. A total of 377,625 PLM members were invited to take the survey with 7434 completions (5344 with community upgrades, 2045 without). The generalized platform was observed to improve knowledge, symptom management, and patient activation, with further increases in knowledge for those with upgrades. However, results were potentially biased due to respondent selection and demographics, varying levels of use, and the cross-sectional study design [7].

Despite efforts to improve utility and knowledge, PLM comes with challenges. While it can encourage patient advocacy and data-driven discussions [8], it may not be representative or generalizable to all patients, as previously mentioned, and those willing to share their experiences may already be more active and engaged in their health, with better health care access [4]. There is also a risk of spreading misinformation, as content is not reviewed by medical professionals, which may be particularly dangerous for patients with complex comorbidities (which are underreported on PLM) [3]. PLM information regarding standard of care or interventions to avoid is also lacking [2]. Encouraging health care provider acknowledgment of and engagement on these forums with patients can play an important role in promoting community-building and health literacy and developing trust and rapport while cautioning users on the potential for misinformation. While extremely beneficial to many, online platforms like PLM should not be all-encompassing resources, and informed use is paramount.

Acknowledgments

RPD receives editorial stipends (JMIR Dermatology), royalties (UpToDate), and expense reimbursement from Cochrane.

Conflicts of Interest

RPD is editor-in-chief of *JMIR Dermatology*, an editor of *Cochrane Skin*, a dermatology section editor for UpToDate, a social media editor for the *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, and a Cochrane Council cochair.

References

- 1. About: empowering patients through community. PatientsLikeMe. URL: <u>https://www.patientslikeme.com/about</u> [accessed 2024-04-24]
- Rundle CW, Dellavalle RP. PatientsLikeMe and atopic dermatitis: characterizing the atopic dermatitis patient profile. Dermatol Online J. Aug 15, 2018;24(8):13030/qt70k8c9jn. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.5070/D3248041126] [Medline: 30677844]
- 3. Nyman E, Vaughan T, Desta B, Wang X, Barut V, Emmas C. Characteristics and symptom severity of patients reporting systemic lupus erythematosus in the PatientsLikeMe online health community: a retrospective observational study. Rheumatol Ther. Mar 2020;7(1):201-213. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s40744-020-00195-7] [Medline: 32008212]
- 4. Eichler GS, Cochin E, Han J, Hu S, Vaughan TE, Wicks P, et al. Exploring concordance of patient-reported information on PatientsLikeMe and medical claims data at the patient level. J Med Internet Res. May 12, 2016;18(5):e110. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.5130] [Medline: 27174602]
- Bove R, Secor E, Healy BC, Musallam A, Vaughan T, Glanz BI, et al. Evaluation of an online platform for multiple sclerosis research: patient description, validation of severity scale, and exploration of BMI effects on disease course. PLoS One. 2013;8(3):e59707. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059707] [Medline: 23527256]
- 6. Frost JH, Massagli MP. Social uses of personal health information within PatientsLikeMe, an online patient community: what can happen when patients have access to one another's data. J Med Internet Res. May 27, 2008;10(3):e15. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.1053] [Medline: 18504244]

RenderX

JMIR DERMATOLOGY

- Wicks P, Mack Thorley E, Simacek K, Curran C, Emmas C. Scaling PatientsLikeMe via a "generalized platform" for members with chronic illness: web-based survey study of benefits arising. J Med Internet Res. May 07, 2018;20(5):e175.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.9909] [Medline: 29735472]
- 8. Frost J, Massagli M. PatientsLikeMe the case for a data-centered patient community and how ALS patients use the community to inform treatment decisions and manage pulmonary health. Chron Respir Dis. 2009;6(4):225-229. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1479972309348655] [Medline: 19858352]

Abbreviations

AD: atopic dermatitis ALS: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis PLM: PatientsLikeMe SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus

Edited by J Solomon; submitted 30.06.23; peer-reviewed by E Nyman, A AL-Asadi; comments to author 22.10.23; revised version received 03.05.24; accepted 09.06.24; published 26.06.24

Please cite as:

Szeto MD, Hook Sobotka M, Woolhiser E, Parmar P, Wu J, Alhanshali L, Dellavalle RP PatientsLikeMe and Online Patient Support Communities in Dermatology JMIR Dermatol 2024;7:e50453 URL: https://derma.jmir.org/2024/1/e50453 doi: <u>10.2196/50453</u> PMID:

©Mindy D Szeto, Michelle Hook Sobotka, Emily Woolhiser, Pritika Parmar, Jieying Wu, Lina Alhanshali, Robert P Dellavalle. Originally published in JMIR Dermatology (http://derma.jmir.org), 26.06.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Dermatology, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://derma.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

